57

1889
Separate Revenue
File No. 8 of 89
Sls. 1-4

Return of the file of arrack Rahadaries

T.V. Ramaswamy Iyengar.

Previous Reference
S. R. 3 of 87

Later Reference
S. R 17 of 91
S. R 48 of 94.

77

SECTION S. II

FILE NO. 8 of 1889-90.

SERIAL No. 1

Referred to Mr. Raghavendra Row Asst. Comr.

16/4/90

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 264 16 APR. 90

91/53

188 ~~9~~

12

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the D
of M

13214/1729 D 20/2/91.

Dated 11th } April
Despatched 15 }
Received }

Enclosures No. 1 Statement & a file of Rahadaries 1890-91.

General No. 358
No. 7

Has the honor to forward herewith the file of arrack Rahadaries with the usual analysis of results prepared after checking in this Office with the detailed Registers of issues of liquor from the Distillery for the month of January 1890.

Excise Commr.

S

A3
29-6-91

A3
18-4-

Enclosure to SL!

Analysis of results on comparing the arrack Rahadaries with the detailed count received at this Office for the month of January 1890

12/a

Name of Taluk	Name of Koty	Total No. of Rahadaries issued to each District	Total quantity of arrack issued to each Koty		Remarks
C & M Station	C & M Station	47	7092	28	
	Bangalore District				
Bangalore	Bangalore Town	28	3710	1	✓ Nos 2410, 2462, 2463, 2487 2556, 2599 of 3, 8, 8, 10, 17 & 21 resp not received
	Yelahanka	12	636	30	✓
	Hengeri	5	265	33	✓
	Kristnarajpur	9	480	49	✓
	Begur	10	535	6	✓
Devanhully	Devanhully	8	421	49	✓
Anekal	Anekal	4	213	17	✓
	Sarjapur	3	159	45	✓
	Hoskur	4	214	2	✓
Closepet	Closepet	5	315	47	✓
	Chennapatna	3	264	3	✓
Magady	Magady	1	51	49	✓
Nelamangala	Nelamangala	3	158	20	✓
Dodballapur	Dodballapur	3	169	8	✓
Hoskote	Hoskote	5	266	42	✓
	Nandagoody	3	160	34	✓
Kankanhully	Kankanhully	3	156	18	✓ ~~R. No. 2671~~ -8/ 29/1/90 not rec.
		081 109			
		57	4460	44	
		13 9	8170	46	

Shimoga District

Shimoga	Shimoga	8	700	-
	Benkipur	3	159	31
	Ayanoor	1	105	26
Chennagiry	Chennagiry	1	53	29
Honnalli	Nyampte	6	534	14
Sikarpur	Sikarpur	3	212	30
Sorab	Sorab	2	212	36
Sagar	Sagar	2	212	47
	Anantapur	2	215	10
Thirthully	Thirthully	3	531	19
	Mundygaddy	2	108	1
			3045	39
	Blending		57	32
			3103	20

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

RS

Kolar District

Malur	Malur	6	267	14
	Narsapur	5	315	18
Bagepully	Bagepully	2	107	24
Sidlagatta	Sidlagatta	3	315	15
Mulbagal	Mulbagal	3	165	48
Gudibanda	Gudibanda	2	108	6
Chintamony	Chintamony	3	264	41
	Srinivasapur	3	160	9
Kolar	Kolar	4	423	9
Chickbalapur	Chickbalapur	4	423	2
Bowringpett	Bowringpett	14	1115	5
	Bettmangala	8	851	3
		57	4517	4

Tumkur District

Tumkur	Tumkur	4	423	3
Sira	Sira	4	369	31
Gubbi	Gubbi	2	103	1
Tiptur	Tiptur	2	102	0
Kunigal	Kunigal	1	109	1
		13	1107	4

Chitaldroog District

Chitaldroog	Chitaldroog	2	209	5
Davangere	Harihar	3	321	16
	Davangere	4	220	19
Molakalmooroo			Nil	
Holalker		9	Nil	
			750	40

Hassan District

Hassan	Hassan	5	423	44
Arsikere	Arsikere	4	166	11
Belur	Belur	2	107	10
Munjirabad	Sucklespur	3	425	46
		14	1123	9

Mysore District

Malvally	Malvally	1	52	32
Nunjingode	Nunjingode	5	217	41
Mysore	Mysore town	5	1169	38
Hoonsur	Hoonsur	4	317	3
Mandya	Mandya	2	108	41
Heggadadevankote	Heggadadevankote	1	52	46
Seringapatam	Seringapatam	3	319	40
T. Narsipur	T. Narsipur	3	159	40
Nagamangala	Nagamangala		Nil	
		24 Total	2398	26

Kadur District

Chick Magalur	Chick Magalur	4	846	46
Kadur	Kadur	2	212	20
Koppa	Harihurpur	2	640	5
Moodigerry	Moodigerry	3	318	21
Turekere	Turekere	4	324	12
Yedahully	Yedahully	1	53	48
	Blending		17	29
		16	2413	28
	Total	289		

Issue this order
& then transfer this
bundle to Mr [illegible]
[illegible] for
attention to the disposal
of file marked A
I hence [illegible]
a rough draft [illegible]
[illegible] the contents
in full early

20/[illegible]/91

Draft submitted with
a Memo on the subject
of the file A.

AR
30/4/91

SECTION S R
FILE No. 8a7 89
SERIAL No. 2

~~1~~ 1891

27

From the Same No. 3818/232 d/12/3/91

To

The Excise Commissioner
In Mysore

Dated 20-2-91

No. 13214/1729

Returning the file of
Cancelled Rahadaries
for the month of January
1891 and observing that
a brief report embodying
the principal defects
noticed by the Dy Commr. &
the Excise Commr. in the course
of exn and check prescribed
should accompany the file of
cancld Rahadaries when subtd
to Govent [illegible]

19/1/91

Please [illegible] the [illegible]
185 cited in the margin
[illegible]. Same
orders were 27/[illegible]
issued on same
subject in March 1890, [illegible]
of the Comptroller; [illegible]
[illegible] - [illegible] files
[illegible] 27/1 PTO

A January 1890

Districts	No. of Kotties	No. of Kaladaries	Total quantity of Arrack issued											
			20. u.p.		Proof		Over proof						Total.	
							30. O.P. & under		50. O.P.		60. O.P.			
			G	Dr.	G	Dr.	G	Dr.	G	Dr.	G.	Dr.	G	Dr.
Cantonment	1	47	7,058	29	10	50	„	„	18	-	5	-	7,092	28
Bangalore Town	1	28	3,707	26	2	18	„	„	„	8	„	„	3,710	1
Rest of the Bangalore Districts	16	81	4,460	44	„	„	„	„	„	„	„	„	4,460	44
Kolar District	12	57	4,512	14	5	33	„	„	„	„	„	„	4,517	47
Tumkur do	5	13	1,107	40	„	„	„	„	„	„	„	„	1,107	40
Mysore do	8	24	2,394	38	2	42	-	-	„	„	„	48	2,398	26
Hassan do	4	14	1,115	33	4	36	„	„	„	„	2	42	1,123	9
Shimoga do	11	33	3,040	6	3	39	„	„	„	„	1	45	3,045	39
do Blending	„	„	43	5	„	„	14	27	„	„	„	„	57	32
Kadure Dt.	6	16	2,394	5	1	45	-	-	„	„	„	„	2,395	50
do Blending	„	„	9	8	„	„	8	21	„	„	„	„	17	29
Chittaldrug	3	9	747	1	„	„	„	„	„	„	3	39	750	40
Total issued	67	~~275~~ 322	30538	32	32	8	-	-	18	8	14	21	30,603	18
do Blending	„	„	52	13	-	-	22	48	-	-	-	-	75	10
Grand Total	67	~~275~~ 322	30,590	45	32	8	22	48	18	8	14	21	30,678	28

SECTION..........................

FILE No..........................

SERIAL No..........................

Read

Docket No. 358 d/11th April 1890

from the Excise Commr. in Mysore forwarding the file of cancelled Arrack Rahadaries with the usual analysis of results for the month of January 1890 &

Do. No. $\frac{3538}{115}$ d/14th Feby/90 forwarding detailed extract from the Regr. of issues of liquor from the Govt. Central Distillery at Bangalore & Bonded ware house at Shimoga.

Order thereon - No. $\frac{13214}{1729}$ d/ $\frac{20}{24}$-2-91 Conf. + file

During the month under review the total quantity of arrack issued from the Central Distillery as well as the Bonded Ware house at Shimoga to the several Koties in the Districts of the Province & also to the Civil & Military Station was 30678 Gallons & 28 Drams covered by [illegible] Rahadaries, which as compared

with the results of the previous
Month shews a decrease of 3052 Gallons
& 14 drams in arrack & an increase
of 8 in the Number of Rahadarees-
The total quantity above shewn
includes 75 Gallons & 10 drams shown
~~under~~ as "Blending" against the Shimoga
& Kadur Districts.

2 A statement marked A appended hereto
shews the details of issues to ~~each~~
the Koties of each District together
with the various strength of the
liquor- It will be seen therefrom
that while 30590 G & 45 Drams
were 20° Under Proof the remainder
consisted of the following ~~portion~~ strength

viz G. D.
32.8 Proof
22.48 - 30° Over Proof
18.8 - 50° Do. Do.
14.21 - 60° Do. Do.
87.34

3 The largest quantity issu
~~ed~~ was, as usual, to the C
Station & the smallest to the
9
District being respectively 7092-20

4750·40.

4. The deduction allowed on account of wastage was as shewn hereunder involving Rs. 1318-10-3

	20 Up	Proof	Over Proof 30°	50°	60°	Total	Amount
Total quantity issued	~~30538·32~~ 30590-45	32·8	22·48	18·8	14·21	30678·28	1,01797-5
Deduct on account of wastage at 2 percent	396-25	" 19	" 23	"	" 10	397·26	1318-10-3
	~~30142·7~~ 30194·20	31·40	22·25	18·8	~~14·11~~	~~30281·2~~ 30281·2	~~100478~~ 100478-10-10

5 The net realizations to Govt. amounted therefore to Rupees 1,00,478-10-10 which ~~appears the~~ is included in the Demand~~s~~ Collection Balance statement furnished by the Excise Commissioner to this Office.

6 The attention of the Excise Comr. is invited to the following matters observed in the course of the checking of the Rahadaries in this Office with a request that where a report ~~be submitted on~~ ~~each of them~~ is called for, the same may be submitted at an

early date.

7. Whether the Rahadaries after being sent by the Taluks are properly ~~checked~~ compared by the Dy Colrs as laid down in the Rules noted in the margin+, & if so how the results are communicated by them to the Excise Colr & what action, if any be called, for, is taken thereon to rectify any irregularities which may be brought to notice, do not appear from the files &ca received monthly in this Office - A short report on any such special matters embodied in the Docket which accompanies the files will be both useful & interesting to Government & it is requested that the same may be attended to ~~hereafter~~.

+No. 185 vide Notification d/ 24th Jany /88.

Files of Cancelled Rahadaries when sent to Govt with an analysis of ~~the~~ results under the heads entd in the orders cited in the margin+ shd be accompanied by a brief report embodying the principal defects ~~points~~ noticed by ~~the~~ Dy Colrs & the Excise Cr in the course of the exn & check prescribed in the orders above cited & the steps taken to prevent their recurrence -

8. Altho the Rahadaries of the month of January have been sent to this Office in the middle of April, i.e. 2½ months after they

Nos ~~24[illegible]0~~ 2410 Mr. Hardy
2462 Munl. Dispensary
2463 Mr. Hardy
2487 - Do. Do.
2556 Mr. Rozario
2599 Do. Do.

were issued, yet the six Rahadaris noted in the margin × which were all issued to various parties residing in ~~the~~ Bangalore have not been received, The Excise Commr. to report what enquiry was instituted & with what results & what steps have been taken to insist upon the punctual return of time expired Rahadaris ~~should be reported in full for the information of Govt.~~

9. Rahadari No. 243~~4~~ d/4th Jany conveying 54 G & 13 D of arrack to Malur with 6 days ~~allowed~~ time allowed appears to have reached on the 11th instead of the 9th. The cause of delay in the arrival of the consignment & the reason why ~~such a~~ ~~long time as~~ 6 days ~~was~~ were allowed for transit to a place only ~~24~~ † hours journey ~~miles distant & also connected~~ or about 8 hours by cart road by Railway should be explained —

† fill in

~~10 Rahadari No. 2620 d/23rd Jany 1890 conveying 52 G. 50 D to the same place reached on the 30th instead of 28th or even the 29th, the time allowed being 6 days. Explanation needed as above.~~

10. The recipients of the liquor issued under the Rahadaries mentioned hereunder have not, ~~as they should have done~~, endorsed ~~on~~ the latter in token of their having received the liquor in due time, all of them being residents ~~at B the~~ of the Civil & Military Station. This point has been frequently noticed in the Proceedings of the previous months & the special attention of the Excise Commr. is again invited to ~~that~~ the important omission.

Nos	In whose name issued
2426	Mr. Downe
2427	Rajendram Pillay
2450	Mr. Lillywhite
2459	No name given. For Milk Punch
2495	Do. Do. For Do.
2496	Mr. Varden
2506	Mr. Pereira

Nos	In whose name issued
2507	Mr. Allen
2540	Mr. Downes
2570.	Mr. Phanse
2580	Mr. Gibson
2595	Mr. Leonard.
2596	Messrs. Riggs & Co.
2606	Mr. Downes.
2607	Mr. Lillywhite
2620	Mr. Chadwick
2645	Mr. Lillywhite
2646	Mr. Morrison

12. The file of cancelled Rahadaries under review ~~or~~ is herewith returned.

20 Feb 91

Chief Secretary

11688

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
1688
18 MAR. 91
2103

28

18

From The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

SECTION E. R.
FILE No. 8 of 89
SERIAL No. 3

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Dated 12th March 1891.

Despatched 13.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3818
No. 235.

References
as
18/3/91

Sir
Submitted
[illegible]
22-3-91

Raghavendra Rao
[illegible]
as
[illegible] 8/91

Order — Recorded
[illegible] 1/5

Government Proceeding
No: 13214/1729 dated 20/24 ult

Has the honor to
furnish the followin
information on the ser
points noticed in th
above : —

Paras 8 & 10. On rece
of Government ord
5183/526 dated 12th Aug
the following instr
were issued from
office : —

" The Assistant

A3
20-3-91

will not only make a note on each Rah[illegible]ry to the effect that the recipient shall return the Rahadary to the Amildar duly endorsed with the date and hour of receipt, but also inform each recipient that no liquor will be sold to him again if the Rahadary is not returned to the officers concerned".

2. Para: 9. The delay of one day appears to have been caused by Railway Authorities.

B. Nagendra Rao
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

R.
18 (30)

SECTION S. R.
FILE No. 847 89
SERIAL No. 4

To

The Excise Commr

13 June 1891
17 [illegible]

No 3104/322 R. II

Draft submitted for approval

9/6/91

Returning, with certain observations, the files of can-celled Rahadaries for the months of May & June 1890 & intimating ~~that~~ Govt view with dissatisfaction a recurrence of faults repeatedly pointed out.

Read the following dockets received from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore forwarding Registers of Issues of Arrack from the Govt. Central Distillery and files of Arrack Rahadaries with the usual, analysis of results for the months of May, and June 1890.

No. $\frac{1522}{57}$ dated 18th June 1890
" $\frac{2007}{77}$ dated 19th July do
" $\frac{2997}{149}$ dated 2nd October do
& " $\frac{138}{6}$ dated 18th April 1891

—— " ——

No. $\frac{3104}{322}$ of $\frac{13-6-91}{17}$
En. 242 Rahadaries

Order thereon.

The examination of the cancelled Rahadaries with the detailed Registers of issues for the above months has shown the following results.

2. The total quantity

of arrack issued in the month of May was 36,681 Gallons & 14 Drams covered by 388 Kahadaries representing a total revenue of Rs 1,21,791-1-2, while that in the month of June was 37,264 Gallons, and 20 Drams covered by 386 Kahadaries, the revenue derived therefrom being Rs 1,23,568-12-10; vide details embodied in the statements attached hereto ~~hitherto~~.

3. The D. C. B Statements furnished by the Excise Commissioner for the months under reference show that out of Rs 2,57,084-15-6, being the demand for May including not only the value of Arrack issued, but also 2 anna gallon fee, establishment cess

and

and the opening balance at the beginning of the month, Rs 1,04,097-7-10, were collected leaving an outstanding balance of Rs 1,52,987-7-8, while for the month of June the collections against the total demand of Rs 2,76,671-4-0, excluding wastage, local cess and discount to the contractors, amounted to Rs 1,46,178-6-10, leaving a balance of Rs 1,30,492-13-2.

4. The following is a list of Rahadaries not received with the files.–

Nos 389, 457, 521, & 613, issued to parties in the Bangalore Town.

No 436 dated 12th May 1890 issued to the Baitamangalam Koti said to have been sent to the Deputy Commissioner for some explanation.-

No 70 dated 28th May issued to the Chennageri Koti.-

No 64 dated 28th May issued to the Ayanur Koti. The explanations of the Koti Mutsaddi that two copies of this Rahadary obtained one after another were dropped on the road while being taken to the Taluk Cutchary once by his daughter and at the second time by his son when he (the Mutsaddi) was sick, seems rather extraordinary. It ~~is presumed that due enquiry has been made into the matter and notice taken~~ requires the Excise Cr's notice.

5- The taluk Pattis which should have accompanied the cancelled

cancelled Rahadaries when they were sent to the Shimoga D.C. Office are not forthcoming. But only an abstract prepared in the District office is found with the file. The taluk Pattis are probably detained in the District Office.

& the reason is not explained -

6. In the case of 8 Rahadaries issued to the Saklespur Koti, only the duplicates have been recd but not the originals ~~have been received~~ and the former are useless for purposes of Scrutiny as to whether the prescribed rules were duly attended to.

This is inefficient

7. ~~The non-return within the prescribed time of~~ Some of the Rahadaries issued to parties within the town of Bangalore in May and Jun-

are also found returned ~~before~~ after the prescribed time

~~is the only points deserving a mention here, the other points being of the usual nature).~~

8. In regard to the Rahadaries issued during the month of June the following points call for further observation.—

9. According to the Taluk Ferist the number of Rahadaries issued to the Town of Bangalore is 31, the total quantity of arrack thereby covered being 4254 Gallons & 22 Drams, whereas according to the analysis there should be 36 Rahadaries & 4255 Gls and 27 Drams of liquor, the difference being 5 — Rahadaries & 1 Gallon & 5 Dr This discrepancy is apparently due to the non-receipts of the following

5—